

o-Toluenesulfonamide: a redetermination

B. Thimme Gowda,^{a*} Sabine Foro,^b K. Shakuntala^a and Hartmut Fuess^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Mangalore University, Mangalagangothri 574 199, Mangalore, India, and ^bInstitute of Materials Science, Darmstadt University of Technology, Petersenstrasse 23, D-64287 Darmstadt, Germany
Correspondence e-mail: gowdabt@yahoo.com


Received 10 August 2009; accepted 24 August 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 299$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003$ Å; R factor = 0.039; wR factor = 0.101; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.0.

The structure of the title compound, $\text{C}_7\text{H}_9\text{NO}_2\text{S}$, was previously determined from powder diffraction data [Tremayne, Seaton & Glidewell (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **B58**, 823–834]. It has now been refined to a significantly higher precision. The amino N-atom is bent with a C–C–S–N torsion angle of $-65.8(2)$ deg. In the crystal, molecules are packed into a three-dimensional framework/supramolecular structure through hydrogen bonds between the two H atoms of the sulfonamide group and sulfonyl O atoms of neighbouring molecules.

Related literature

For our studies of the effect of substituents on the solid state structures of sulfonamides, see: Gowda *et al.* (2003, 2009); Gowda, Srilatha *et al.* (2007). For the parent benzene-sulfonamide, see: Gowda, Nayak *et al.* (2007). For other aryl sulfonamides, see: Gowda *et al.* (2003, 2009); Gowda, Srilatha *et al.* (2007); Jones & Weinkauff (1993); Kumar *et al.* (1992); O'Connor & Maslen (1965). For the powder structure of the title compound, see: Tremayne *et al.* (2002).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_7\text{H}_9\text{NO}_2\text{S}$
 $M_r = 171.21$

Tetragonal, $I4_1/a$
 $a = 18.670(3)$ Å

$c = 9.057(1)$ Å
 $V = 3157.0(8)$ Å³
 $Z = 16$
Cu $K\alpha$ radiation

$\mu = 3.24$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 299$ K
 $0.40 \times 0.35 \times 0.02$ mm

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer
Absorption correction: ψ scan (North *et al.*, 1968)
 $T_{\min} = 0.324$, $T_{\max} = 0.938$
5320 measured reflections

1403 independent reflections
1290 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.059$
3 standard reflections
frequency: 120 min
intensity decay: 1.5%

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.101$
 $S = 1.07$
1403 reflections
108 parameters
2 restraints

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.28$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.41$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H11}\cdots\text{O2}^i$	0.839 (16)	2.193 (18)	3.003 (2)	162 (2)
$\text{N1}-\text{H12}\cdots\text{O1}^{ii}$	0.841 (16)	2.138 (17)	2.964 (2)	167 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) $y - \frac{1}{4}, -x + \frac{1}{4}, -z + \frac{1}{4}$; (ii) $-y + \frac{1}{4}, x - \frac{1}{4}, z - \frac{1}{4}$.

Data collection: *CAD-4-PC* (Enraf–Nonius, 1996); cell refinement: *CAD-4-PC*; data reduction: *REDU4* (Stoe & Cie, 1987); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

BTG thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Bonn, Germany, for an extension of his research fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FL2262).

References

- Enraf–Nonius (1996). *CAD-4-PC*. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
Gowda, B. T., Foro, S., Shakuntala, K. & Fuess, H. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **E65**, o2144.
Gowda, B. T., Jyothi, K., Kozisek, J. & Fuess, H. (2003). *Z. Naturforsch. Teil A*, **58**, 656–660.
Gowda, B. T., Nayak, R., Kožíšek, J., Tokarčík, M. & Fuess, H. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, o2967.
Gowda, B. T., Srilatha, Foro, S., Kozisek, J. & Fuess, H. (2007). *Z. Naturforsch. Teil A*, **62**, 417–424.
Jones, P. G. & Weinkauff, A. (1993). *Z. Kristallogr.* **208**, 128–129.
Kumar, S. V., Senadhi, S. E. & Rao, L. M. (1992). *Z. Kristallogr.* **202**, 1–6.
North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* **A24**, 351–359.
O'Connor, B. H. & Maslen, E. N. (1965). *Acta Cryst.* **18**, 363–366.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.
Stoe & Cie (1987). *REDU4*. Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
Tremayne, M., Seaton, C. C. & Glidewell, C. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **B58**, 823–834.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o2258 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809033686]

o-Toluenesulfonamide: a redetermination

B. T. Gowda, S. Foro, K. Shakuntala and H. Fuess

Comment

The chemistry of sulfonamides is of interest as they show distinct physical, chemical and biological properties. Many aryl-sulfonamides exhibit pharmacological, fungicidal and herbicidal activities. In the present work, the structure of (I) has been determined as part of our work to explore the effect of substituents on the solid state structures of sulfonamides (Gowda *et al.*, 2003, 2009; Gowda, Srilatha *et al.*, 2007).

The structure of (I) solved from X-ray powder data has been reported (Tremayne *et al.*, 2002) and the present single-crystal X-ray study confirms the powder diffraction structural parameters. (I) crystallizes in the tetragonal I 41/a space group, in contrast to the monoclinic *Pc* space group observed with the parent benzenesulfonamide (BSA)(Gowda, Nayak *et al.*, 2007), the monoclinic *cc* space group for 2-chlorobenzenesulfonamide (2CBSA)(Gowda *et al.*, 2009), the orthorhombic *Pbca* space group for both 4-fluorobenzenesulfonamide (Jones & Weinkauff, 1993) and 4-aminobenzenesulfonamide (O'Connor & Maslen, 1965), and the monoclinic *P21/n* space group for both 4-chlorobenzenesulfonamide and 4-bromobenzenesulfonamide (Gowda *et al.*, 2003), and 4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (Kumar *et al.*, 1992). The orientation of the amino group with respect to the ring is given by the C–C–S–N torsional angle of $-65.8(2)^\circ$, compared to the values of $-78.1(10)^\circ$ for BSA and $64.0(2)^\circ$ for 2CBSA. In (I), the molecules are packed into layers parallel to the *b*-axis through N1—H11 \cdots O1(S) and N1—H12 \cdots O2(S) intermolecular hydrogen bonding (Table 1 & Fig.2).

Experimental

The purity of the commercial sample (TCI, Tokyo) was checked and characterized by its infrared spectra. The single crystals used in X-ray diffraction studies were grown from ethanol by a slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature.

Refinement

The H atoms of the NH₂ group were located in difference map and refined with restrained geometry to $0.86(2) \text{ \AA}$. The other H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry using a riding model [C—H = $0.93\text{--}0.96 \text{ \AA}$]. All H atoms were refined with isotropic displacement parameters (set to 1.2 times of the U_{eq} of the parent atom).

Figures




Fig. 1. Molecular structure of (I), showing the atom labelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.




Fig. 2. Molecular packing of (I) with hydrogen bonding shown as dashed lines.

***o*-Toluenesulfonamide**

Crystal data

$C_7H_9NO_2S$

$M_r = 171.21$

Tetragonal, $I4_1/a$

Hall symbol: $-I\ 4ad$

$a = 18.670\ (3)\ \text{\AA}$

$b = 18.670\ (3)\ \text{\AA}$

$c = 9.057\ (1)\ \text{\AA}$

$\alpha = 90^\circ$

$\beta = 90^\circ$

$\gamma = 90^\circ$

$V = 3157.0\ (8)\ \text{\AA}^3$

$Z = 16$

$F_{000} = 1440$

$D_x = 1.441\ \text{Mg m}^{-3}$

Cu $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 1.54180\ \text{\AA}$

Cell parameters from 25 reflections

$\theta = 4.7\text{--}17.9^\circ$

$\mu = 3.24\ \text{mm}^{-1}$

$T = 299\ \text{K}$

Prism, colourless

$0.40 \times 0.35 \times 0.02\ \text{mm}$

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Monochromator: graphite

$T = 299\ \text{K}$

$\omega/2\theta$ scans

Absorption correction: ψ scan
(North *et al.*, 1968)

$T_{\min} = 0.324$, $T_{\max} = 0.938$

5320 measured reflections

1403 independent reflections

1290 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.059$

$\theta_{\max} = 66.8^\circ$

$\theta_{\min} = 4.7^\circ$

$h = -22 \rightarrow 22$

$k = -22 \rightarrow 22$

$l = -10 \rightarrow 0$

3 standard reflections

every 120 min

intensity decay: 1.5%

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$

$wR(F^2) = 0.101$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0529P)^2 + 2.2812P]$

$S = 1.07$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1403 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$
108 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.28 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
2 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), $F_c^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/\sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$ Extinction coefficient: 0.00227 (18)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
S1	0.13337 (2)	0.12556 (2)	0.26312 (5)	0.0365 (2)
O1	0.20600 (8)	0.10124 (10)	0.24990 (15)	0.0543 (5)
O2	0.11947 (10)	0.20074 (8)	0.25836 (16)	0.0612 (5)
N1	0.09068 (10)	0.08933 (10)	0.13117 (18)	0.0454 (4)
H11	0.0510 (10)	0.1073 (12)	0.108 (3)	0.054*
H12	0.1053 (12)	0.0484 (10)	0.107 (3)	0.054*
C1	0.10065 (9)	0.09226 (9)	0.43325 (19)	0.0329 (4)
C2	0.03095 (11)	0.10630 (10)	0.4810 (2)	0.0395 (5)
C3	0.01179 (12)	0.07885 (12)	0.6185 (2)	0.0522 (5)
H3	-0.0341	0.0873	0.6542	0.063*
C4	0.05868 (14)	0.03960 (13)	0.7032 (2)	0.0575 (6)
H4	0.0441	0.0222	0.7946	0.069*
C5	0.12671 (13)	0.02595 (13)	0.6539 (2)	0.0545 (6)
H5	0.1583	-0.0007	0.7113	0.065*
C6	0.14805 (11)	0.05211 (11)	0.5179 (2)	0.0430 (5)
H6	0.1940	0.0429	0.4832	0.052*
C7	-0.02347 (13)	0.14733 (13)	0.3939 (3)	0.0609 (6)
H7A	-0.0344	0.1218	0.3047	0.073*
H7B	-0.0046	0.1937	0.3695	0.073*
H7C	-0.0663	0.1529	0.4515	0.073*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

supplementary materials

S1	0.0422 (3)	0.0384 (3)	0.0289 (3)	-0.00894 (17)	-0.00042 (16)	0.00272 (16)
O1	0.0375 (8)	0.0865 (12)	0.0388 (8)	-0.0106 (7)	0.0015 (6)	0.0054 (7)
O2	0.1032 (14)	0.0364 (8)	0.0441 (9)	-0.0154 (8)	0.0039 (8)	0.0066 (6)
N1	0.0483 (10)	0.0500 (10)	0.0378 (9)	0.0088 (8)	-0.0116 (7)	-0.0078 (7)
C1	0.0401 (10)	0.0305 (8)	0.0281 (8)	-0.0057 (7)	-0.0005 (7)	-0.0006 (7)
C2	0.0427 (11)	0.0357 (10)	0.0401 (10)	-0.0035 (8)	0.0019 (8)	-0.0061 (7)
C3	0.0541 (13)	0.0591 (13)	0.0435 (11)	-0.0101 (10)	0.0151 (9)	-0.0081 (9)
C4	0.0769 (16)	0.0612 (14)	0.0345 (10)	-0.0178 (12)	0.0063 (10)	0.0060 (10)
C5	0.0673 (15)	0.0549 (13)	0.0413 (12)	-0.0066 (10)	-0.0086 (10)	0.0160 (9)
C6	0.0445 (11)	0.0439 (11)	0.0405 (10)	-0.0014 (8)	-0.0025 (8)	0.0056 (8)
C7	0.0474 (12)	0.0632 (14)	0.0721 (16)	0.0123 (11)	0.0017 (11)	0.0027 (12)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—O2	1.4281 (16)	C3—C4	1.375 (4)
S1—O1	1.4349 (16)	C3—H3	0.9300
S1—N1	1.5877 (17)	C4—C5	1.370 (3)
S1—C1	1.7703 (17)	C4—H4	0.9300
N1—H11	0.839 (16)	C5—C6	1.384 (3)
N1—H12	0.841 (16)	C5—H5	0.9300
C1—C6	1.390 (3)	C6—H6	0.9300
C1—C2	1.396 (3)	C7—H7A	0.9600
C2—C3	1.394 (3)	C7—H7B	0.9600
C2—C7	1.497 (3)	C7—H7C	0.9600
O2—S1—O1	118.70 (11)	C2—C3—H3	119.0
O2—S1—N1	107.74 (10)	C5—C4—C3	120.5 (2)
O1—S1—N1	106.07 (9)	C5—C4—H4	119.8
O2—S1—C1	107.98 (9)	C3—C4—H4	119.8
O1—S1—C1	106.72 (8)	C4—C5—C6	119.4 (2)
N1—S1—C1	109.41 (9)	C4—C5—H5	120.3
S1—N1—H11	117.3 (17)	C6—C5—H5	120.3
S1—N1—H12	114.9 (17)	C5—C6—C1	119.9 (2)
H11—N1—H12	126 (2)	C5—C6—H6	120.1
C6—C1—C2	121.59 (17)	C1—C6—H6	120.1
C6—C1—S1	116.72 (15)	C2—C7—H7A	109.5
C2—C1—S1	121.69 (14)	C2—C7—H7B	109.5
C3—C2—C1	116.55 (19)	H7A—C7—H7B	109.5
C3—C2—C7	119.0 (2)	C2—C7—H7C	109.5
C1—C2—C7	124.44 (19)	H7A—C7—H7C	109.5
C4—C3—C2	122.1 (2)	H7B—C7—H7C	109.5
C4—C3—H3	119.0		
O2—S1—C1—C6	-128.04 (16)	S1—C1—C2—C7	2.5 (3)
O1—S1—C1—C6	0.61 (17)	C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.3 (3)
N1—S1—C1—C6	114.96 (15)	C7—C2—C3—C4	178.8 (2)
O2—S1—C1—C2	51.23 (17)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.2 (3)
O1—S1—C1—C2	179.89 (15)	C3—C4—C5—C6	0.1 (4)
N1—S1—C1—C2	-65.77 (17)	C4—C5—C6—C1	0.4 (3)
C6—C1—C2—C3	0.9 (3)	C2—C1—C6—C5	-0.9 (3)
S1—C1—C2—C3	-178.38 (14)	S1—C1—C6—C5	178.33 (17)

C6—C1—C2—C7 -178.2 (2)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>
N1—H11 \cdots O2 ⁱ	0.839 (16)	2.193 (18)	3.003 (2)	162 (2)
N1—H12 \cdots O1 ⁱⁱ	0.841 (16)	2.138 (17)	2.964 (2)	167 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) $y-1/4, -x+1/4, -z+1/4$; (ii) $-y+1/4, x-1/4, z-1/4$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

